

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/678,134	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILK, PETER J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kathryn Odland	<b>Art Unit</b> 3743	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Kathryn Odland.

 (2) R. Neil Sudol.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2004
**Time:** 12:35 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Schweich, Jr. et al. in US 5,961,440

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant was contacted to amend claims 1 and 10 to positively recite that the walls of the one ventricle are brought into contact so as to close off the lower portion, in order to more accurately claim the invention and define over the prior art of record.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)